



International Chinese Language Education Communications  
Volume 3, Issue 1, 60-77  
<https://doi.org/10.46451/iclec.260201>

Received: 28 October, 2025  
Accepted: 16 January, 2026  
Published: 9 February, 2026

## One-syllable Articles as a Technique for Teaching Chinese Radicals

Llinos Evans  
University of Essex, UK  
(Email: [le23079@essex.ac.uk](mailto:le23079@essex.ac.uk))

### Abstract

Chinese character comprehension is one of the biggest troubles CSL (Chinese second language learners) face when trying to learn a Chinese language. *Lion-Eating Poet in the Stone Den*, a One-Syllable Article (同音文章 *Tóngyīn Wénzhāng*) from 1916, continues to see use in classrooms, but there has been a lack of attempts to refine the medium for alternative learning outcomes. The author writes two poems using /ao/ and /ou/ to show the possibility, forcing reliance on orthographic distinctions between phono-semantic compounds. The author therefore proposes their use in a technique for introducing the system of radicals within the Han script. Taking the form of a pedagogical proposal and materials development study, this paper fills a gap in radical-focused learning materials, promoting inductive, student-centred learning, with a reproducible methodology for further development.

### Keywords

One-syllable articles, Chinese radicals, phono-semantic compound, teaching technique, Chinese characters

## 以同音文章作为一种汉字部首教学的方法

汐洛思  
艾塞克斯大学，英国

### 摘要

汉字认读是汉语作为第二语言的学习者面临的主要难点之一。1916年发表的同音文章《施氏食狮史》至今仍被用于课堂教学，但很少有人尝试改进这一形式，以实现不同的教学目标。本文作者以/ao/和/ou/拼音音节创作了两首诗歌，展示利用字形区分形声

字的可能性，迫使学习者依赖汉字形旁与声旁的差异进行辨识。据此，作者提出将此类文本作为一种引入汉字部首系统的教学手段。本研究采用教学提案与教材开发的形式，填补了部首专项学习材料的空白，倡导归纳式、以学生为中心的学习法，并提供了一套可复制的方法供后续开发使用。

### 关键词

汉字教学，部首教学，同音文章，形声字，施氏食狮史，教材开发

### Introduction

Literacy in Chinese characters (汉字 *Hànzì*) is a common and significant challenge for a Chinese Second Language (CSL) learner, regardless of their first language (L1). Their relative complexity relative to other writing systems is often an extreme shock and thus an educator often needs to dedicate significant time to the script (Shei et al., 2020). Radical awareness has shown ample signs of being the key to establishing basic recognition and memorisation (Y. Li et al., 2020; Tong et al., 2017; Tong & Yip, 2015), but acting upon this in respect to materials development appears underdeveloped. The technique this paper proposes is primarily designed for beginner-to-intermediate CSL learners in a Mandarin-medium classroom, aiming to build foundational radical awareness and character decoding skills.

One-Syllable Articles (同音文章 *Tóngyīn Wénzhāng*) are homophonic texts read in the reader's Chinese topolect and usually written within the medium of Literary Chinese. However, the text may not necessarily be homophonic across all topolects. For example, what is homophonic in Mandarin may not be in Wu Chinese or Cantonese. *Lion-Eating Poet in the Stone Den* 施氏食獅史 by Hu Mingfu 胡明复 (Zhao, 2024) Yuen Ren Chao (趙元任 *Zhào Yuánrèn*) has been used in the CSL classroom, but the medium has not advanced beyond the use of Chao's other works in particular (Chao, 1980, pp. 147–151), largely being seen as a humorous linguistic curiosity. While the benefits of literature in language teaching need no introduction (Brumfit & Carter, 2000; Chan et al., 2022; Long, 2000; Mitsigkas, 2016; Starr & Hu, 2019), there are many ways to improve upon the use of One-Syllable Articles, and issues with *Lion-Eating Poet in the Stone Den* should be expressed. The original *Lion-Eating Poet in the Stone Den* was an *argumentum ad absurdum* against the phoneticization of Literary Chinese during a period of flux in the Chinese writing system as a whole (Chao, 1980). While a good way to introduce the fact Literary Chinese exists, it immediately politicises the tongue and thus questions its existence. The cultural history associated with it is important and valid; it is an authentic material of that era. Furthermore, it serves as a strong tonal exercise that also trains readers on the /shi/ syllable's retroflex [ʂ] onset. These are all excellent qualities, and it shows potential in the genre for further development. Thus, this paper intends to explore alternatives that may be more pedagogically useful for learners of Mandarin or Literary Chinese, using poems written by the author that provide intensive practice within a given syllable, thereby building metalinguistic and radical awareness in the learner. This will be explained through a literature review, theoretical framework, methodology, discussion, and conclusion.

As One-Syllable Articles are typically written in Literary Chinese, the pedagogical technique being employed here will place emphasis on Chinese characters and their radicals, rather than Chinese grammar and syntax. However, application in the Literary Chinese classroom is perfectly feasible as an authentic material, and this is treated as a valuable, secondary use-case. It will therefore be mentioned in passing, with one poem foregrounding its unique syntax and semantics for demonstrative purposes.

## Literature Review

Chinese radicals (部首 *bùshǒu*) are the components that make up a Chinese character; that is, a part that may be placed with another to make a compound that represents meaning and possibly a spoken word. For example, *nǐ* 你 is made up of *rén* 亻 and *ěr* 尔. The concept of radicals dates back to Xu Shen's work in the Han dynasty (S. Xu, 2015), where he numbered 540 components; these were the precursors to the modern idea of "radicals." In modern-day, there are generally considered to be around 214 radicals, as concluded in *Kangxi Dictionary* 康熙字典 *Kāngxī Zìdiǎn* (Wan et al., 2019; Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015), though it generally depends on the dictionary used.

Semantic radicals are abstract glyphs which give a small hint towards a Chinese character's meaning (Lü et al., 2015; Wan et al., 2019). For example, *guī* 龜, a pictograph and one of the 214 *Kangxi* radicals, represents a tortoise. Therefore, one would assume *biē* 鼈 refers to a kind of tortoise, and they would be right: It represents a softshell tortoise (*Amyda sinensis*), though it has variant forms in 𪚩 (same pronunciation) among others (Kroll et al., 2017). Given this, semantic radicals can imply a Chinese character's word class, and this has been proven in practice with studies such as Jijie *et al* (2006); indeed, teaching techniques associated with increasing semantic radical knowledge and recognition is associated with improved fluency (Lai et al., 2020) and test scores (Nguyen et al., 2017). Semantic radical knowledge has correlated with increased character comprehension (Ho et al., 2003) and study has shown it is often the key predictor of recognition with novel characters (Hew-yan, 2011). Properties and knowledge have been shown to be processed jointly by CSL readers (Lü et al., 2015).

Phonetic radicals – radicals within phono-semantic compound characters that imply pronunciation (Wan et al., 2019) – are often viewed first by a CSL reader (Wood et al., 2025). Around 80% of the 300,000+ Chinese characters in existence come under this category (Wan et al., 2019). Moving back to the *biē* 鼈 example, its phonetic component is *b* 敝 which, while not accurate, is strikingly similar to the compound character's pronunciation. The teaching of phonetic radicals has abundantly shown that increased awareness of phonetic radicals correlates significantly with reading fluency (Ho et al., 2003; L. Li et al., 2018; Y. Li et al., 2020, 2022).

What we see here, then, is the clear utility of radicals, semantic and phonetic, in understanding Chinese characters. With a text that actively fights against a CSL reader's attention to phonetic cues, one can force them to shift attention to semantic radicals and structure. Alternatively, one could flood the reader with unfamiliar phono-semantic compounds to bring attention to the consistencies in structure. This led to the investigation seen in this paper.

Psycholinguistic study has shown that radicals assist with the processing of word class (Jijie et al., 2006), and the use of chunking alongside meaningful interpretation increased learner performance in character retention overall, especially in independent study (X. Xu & Padilla, 2013). The use of this knowledge is key in processing characters, as shown in study of adult learners (Lü et al., 2015) and an eye-tracking study showed they were not only important, but also that learners were more concerned with phonetic than semantic components (Wood et al., 2025). Said study also noted that radical knowledge specifically had an influence in the way learners would look at characters; online recognition increased with semantic knowledge, and offline recognition increased with phonetic knowledge.

Perfetti *et al.* (2005) propose a lexical constituency model of reading, specifically focused on the Chinese script. In this model, the form (orthography), phonology (sound), and semantic meaning of a word are necessary for it to be recognised; these three factors are known as “constituents.” Phonology is particularly important: It is activated automatically and rapidly, and in Chinese, this still happens; it is therefore not a script-to-meaning system. Phonology is a constituent of word identity. In a study by Zhang *et al.* (2016), we see this model manifest: By implicitly teaching radicals through pseudocharacters, it was shown that learners gravitated more towards characters with regular and transparent radicals – those that follow the common patterns in pronunciation and semantic meaning. Building on this understanding of constituent-based recognition, the materials this paper proposes, we force the identification system to rely heavily on different constituents, of which become extremely apparent in Chinese.

Kats and Frost (1992) introduce the orthographic depth hypothesis: Shallow orthographies, such as Italian, can easily support phonetic word recognition. Deep orthographies, however, present difficulties to readers, encouraging semantic recognition via visual-orthographic structure. Marjou (2021) applied this hypothesis through neural networks; out of the 17 languages studied, French, English, and, important for this study, Chinese, were ranked as the opaquest in writing and reading. In the case of Chinese, there was a 20% writing score and a 78% reading score. While promising out of context, the reading score was almost 20% lower than the next best orthography, Turkish (98.7%). It is notable that Simplified Chinese was used in this study, while this paper uses Traditional Chinese; whether this has an impact was not tested. Regardless, the opacity revealed in this study empirically shows the challenge posed to CSL learners, and thus their need for instructional materials that train their radical awareness. It is important, then, that materials focused on radical recognition are quite obvious to an attentive reader; feeding into the noticing hypothesis (Schmidt, 1990) and the accomplishment one ought to feel is important for repeated success in this area.

Gamification of radicals has been employed in study and teaching. Higher-grade CSL learners perform better at composing characters out of a random assortment of radicals than lower-grade learners (Hong *et al.*, 2016). E-learning through flashcards has been used, particularly with displays of stroke order (H.-C. Chen *et al.*, 2013; H. Wang *et al.*, 2025), which resulted in dramatically improved recognition; indeed, writing exercises involving stroke-order show marked improvements as well, though comprehension is not built if used alone (Hsiung *et al.*, 2017).

However, radicals are far from perfect. Should a Chinese character’s radicals not be transparent, they will not affect meaning retention (T. Chen & Feng, 2020). Chinese characters are ancient; their current incarnations crystallised in the Three Kingdoms period (~230 C.E.), around 1,800 years ago. Therefore, radicals are not necessarily a “cure-all” for problems; while the Han script contains phonetic elements (C. Zhang, 2011), those elements were disused long ago and the script should therefore be treated as a logographic one.

### Technique Outline

Based on the Approach-Method-Technique-Procedure framework proposed by Richards and Rodgers (2014), we shall outline the technique to be employed within a given educator’s conception of language teaching. This will assume one takes a constructivist or communicative approach to language teaching in general, and that the learners (be it Mandarin or Literary Chinese) are beginner-to-intermediate in level. It could take place within a one-off lesson for intermediate learners or a broader unit on character composition for beginners. Therefore, learners could be familiar with characters or have a minimal understanding.

Share's (2004; 1995, 1999) self-teaching hypothesis supposes that translating written words into verbal pronunciations allows one to self-teach and develop their own orthographic knowledge. Therefore, whenever one reads, they will reinforce their phonetic knowledge, and contextual information around that word will assist with a semantic understanding as well. Use of this method in Chinese has proven effective, correlating with improved lexical knowledge (Y. Li et al., 2022). One could draw upon SLA (Second Language Acquisition) to infer that the flood of input from a single syllable could increase vocabulary exponentially (Ambridge et al., 2013; Ortega, 2014; Wei et al., 2024). Given the psycholinguistic factors (Jijia et al., 2006; Wood et al., 2025), one should implement the findings through activities that encourage interaction with characters. The Literature Review informs that flashcards and stroke order are effective, but a design around deductive analysis and character creation are also plausible for this purpose. The teacher should, then, be a facilitator and model (Harmer, 2013; Ur, 2012), rather than simply aiming for teacher-centred activity. Infusing hope in learners who may dismiss characters as unlearnable is key; this could be achieved through strategy instruction (Zong, 2021; Zong & Forbes, 2022).

We shall therefore propose a classroom procedure, which assumes a set of pre-intermediate Mandarin Chinese learners, aiming to bring awareness of phono-semantic compounds to the front through leveraging their semantic components for understanding.

<b>Step 1: Inductive Discovery</b>		
<b>Action:</b> Write a line from a poem: e.g. 聲驚驚警驚.	<b>Instruction:</b> “Look at these characters. What do they have in common?”	<b>Aim:</b> Identify shared component 勹
<b>Step 2: Sound-Meaning Connection</b>		
<b>Action:</b> Write component 勹 in isolation and drill pronunciation.	<b>Instruction:</b> “Since these have the same component, their sounds must be similar! Let’s read and guess!”	<b>Aim:</b> Induce the phonetic component principle.
<b>Step 3: Negotiating meaning</b>		
<b>Action:</b> Focus on form	<b>Instruction:</b> “Look at what is different between these characters. We have seen these before, haven’t we? What do you think these might mean?”	<b>Aim:</b> Meaningfully negotiate meaning to understand the sentence without a dictionary.
<b>Step 4: The Reveal</b>		
<b>Action:</b> Show the full poem with <i>Baihua</i> 白话 or English translations (depending on L1)	<b>Instruction:</b> “Here’s what this means! Were you right?”	<b>Aim:</b> Allow for meaningful interpretation of the chaos.
<b>Step 5: Production Task</b>		
<b>Action:</b> Creative extension	<b>Instruction:</b> “Using the 勹 component, try to make your own /ao/ character! Maybe you can find it in a dictionary!”	<b>Aim:</b> Promote understanding of character composition.

There are ways this could go wrong: It assumes the students at least know the ear 耳, horse 馬, speech 言, and turtle 龜 radicals, and also have some awareness of phono-semantic compounds. Should the assumed knowledge be present, this technique is valid? With the technique outlined, we can move into procedure, which I will manifest through two poems.

### Methodology of Poem Composition

Constructing a One-Syllable Article is quite difficult depending on the syllable in-use. As I chose to engage in poetry, I chose to keep these two within the Ancient-Style Verse 古體詩 *gǔtǐshī* format, which does not impose Tang dynasty 平仄 *P íng-Z è* upon the poems (L. Wang, 2009). It is possible to adhere to the constraint with tonally and semantically rich syllables such as /yi/ and /zhi/, but for these syllables it was not.

I chose to use Mandarin Chinese for the homophony criteria as it is the language I am most familiar with. Therefore, a Cantonese, Wu, or Min-Nan Chinese teacher may not be able to apply what I have made here. Naturally, while Literary Chinese is a continuation of Classical Chinese (Yang, 2016), these poems will not be homophonous in reconstructed Middle or Old Chinese either.

The workflow can be explained as follows:

1. Search dictionary aggregators such as Pleco (Love, 2025) or zi.tools (2019) for every attested Chinese character within a given syllable; in this case, /ao/ and /ou/.
2. Verify the definitions in historical dictionaries such as *Shuowen Jiezi*, *Erya*, and the *Jiyun*. This is essential for authenticity.
  - a. Should a definition not be possible to find, lack quotation, or otherwise be ambiguous, search corpora such as the Chinese Text Project (Sturgeon, 2020, 2021) or *Kangxi Dictionary* (Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015) and make a value judgement.
3. Develop a shortlist, wherein I append definitions, assign word class(es), and Mandarin tone.
4. Functional minimum test – Analyse the shortlist for potential Topic-Comment candidates, nouns, verbs, grammatical particles, etcetera.
  - a. If there are extremely minimal lemmas (1 - ~3) that are semantically and/or syntactically incompatible, deem the poem impossible and return to step 1.
5. Semantic viability test – Attempt to form an SVO, SOV, or Topic-Comment sentence using the characters.
6. Refine the shortlist based on potential poetic themes.
  - a. When prosody and meaning conflict, priority is given to syntactic coherence and semantic viability. Poems that fail *P íng-Z è* but sustain narrative are historically valid poems (Cai, 2007; L. Wang, 2009).
7. Produce the poem based on the aforementioned shortlist.

With this workflow, a writer can produce a One-Syllable Article within a given syllable of literary merit. The process itself could also be a project for a student of Literary Chinese. I provide annotations for each character with these poems for the educator and student's use.



7 of the 18 (38.8%) of the unique characters use the 敖 / áo / component, while 18 out of 35 total Chinese characters (51.42%) use it within this poem. One line is only comprised of 敖-using characters, which could be used in isolation for teaching phono-semantic compounds in isolation:

聲驚驚警驚。

Ào ào ào áo

ADJ N V V N

*Headless horse horses slander turtle*

*The [Arrogant] Horse, deaf to critique, gallops around, slandering and mocking the turtle.*

Given the use of *hu óyòng* 活用 “to change a character’s word class” (S. Wang, 2012) to switch 驚 from a noun to a verb, I recommend a Mandarin class omit that character; the meaning is generally preserved. However, for a Literary Chinese class, its presence allows for the teaching of that phenomenon as well. Teaching of *hu óyòng* should ideally be done as soon as possible given its prevalence in classical texts and should not be discounted.

Not one Chinese character in this poem is on the HSK (Ministry of Education of the People’s Republic of China, 2021), which presents a problem for one hoping to improve a student’s vocabulary for that framework. However, one could consider it a form of “novel character” teaching not unlike that of Zhang *et al* (2016). This ensures no student in the class is expected to know the vocabulary within, and thus a teacher could use the poem to teach radical comprehension to a beginner or train it in intermediate learners. Furthermore, it means the poem could be used as a character decoding exercise for those of higher levels and thus higher radical sensitivity.

Narrative-wise, the poem invokes the names of Ao 鳌, a giant turtle whose legs were cut off by Nüwa 女媧 to support the sky in the Chinese creation myth (Liu, 2022), and Houtu 后土, an earth goddess named Aoshen 媪神 during the Han dynasty (Zhu, 2025). These are potential discussion points for students, encouraging independent learning of Chinese history, culture, and myth. There is also a moral question: Was it necessary for Houtu to strike the horse? If the physical punishment did not work, was there another way? The use of the /ao/ sound could prove useful as a mnemonic method: It sounds a bit like “Ow!”, which is mimicked in the cartoon violence throughout the poem.

### Annotations

1. 驚 ào – “Thoroughbred horse of the highest quality.” (Kroll et al., 2017). Syn. listed as 駿馬 *jùnmǎ* “fine horse” in *Shuowen Jiezi*, an archaic equivalent to 良馬 *liángmǎ* (X. Li, 2022; S. Xu, 2015). If reduplicated, the thoroughbred horse then acts as a horse, thus galloping freely in gallant manner (Kroll et al., 2017; Van Norden, 2019).  
《說文解字注》五到切驚駿馬。
2. 警 áo – To slander or vilify. If reduplicated, it can be taken as a synonym for 嗷嗷 *áo’áo*, the sound of crying (Kroll et al., 2017).  
《說文解字注》五牢切警不省人言也。(S. Xu, 2015)  
《廣韻》不肖語也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
3. 礧 áo – “Stony, rock-strewn hill”; when reduplicated, it can describe more of a slope (Kroll et al., 2017). *Kangxi Dictionary* cites 韻會 *Yinhu* 韻 which lists it as a synonym of 磬 (same

pron. and similar semantic radical) and the 釋名 *Shì míng* gives a proper definition (Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015).

《韻會》牛交切，𡗗音聲。與整同。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)

《釋名》山多小石曰礧。礧，堯也。每石堯堯獨處而出見也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)

4. 敖 áo – To stray, to ramble at will, to squabble, bicker, or wrangle (Kroll et al., 2017). This is the phonological component a significant number of characters in this poem share as well: 7 out of 18, 38.8\*%.  
《說文解字注》五牢切敖游也。(S. Xu, 2015)
5. 拗 ǎo/ào – In the third tone, to break (off), snap, or even fracture (Kroll et al., 2017), particularly by bending (Kleemann & Yu, 2010). In the fourth tone, it means to defy or disobey (Kleemann & Yu, 2010).  
《說文解字》於絞切拗手拉也。从手幼聲。(S. Xu, 2015)
6. 擊 áo – To hit, strike, and/or smite (Kroll et al., 2017)  
《集韻》《韻會》《正韻》牛刀切，𡗗音敖。擊也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
7. 鼈 áo – Legendary sea turtle (Liu, 2022).
8. 聾 áo – To “turn a deaf ear to others’ opinions, be deaf to; heedless.” (Kroll et al., 2017)  
《說文解字》五交切不聽也。从耳𡗗聲。(S. Xu, 2015)  
《廣雅》不入人語也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
9. 鑿 áo – Usually a kettle or cooking-pot, but figuratively can be used to describe a heated battle, which we use here (Kroll et al., 2017).  
《集韻》盡死殺人曰鑿。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)  
《字彙補》器也。亦銅盆也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
10. 媼 ǎo – Han dynasty name for 后土 Hòutǔ (Zhu, 2025).
11. 翱 áo – To fly, soaring in the sky (X. Li, 2022).  
【說文】翱，翔也。(S. Xu, 2015; Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
12. 懊 ào – Either singular or in bound forms only. To be regretful, worried, or vexed (Kroll et al., 2017); the *Jiyun* states that it is a synonym for 恨, which can also describe feeling hostile towards something (Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015). Here, we use it to describe being worried yet hostile.  
《廣韻》懊惱也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)  
《集韻》恨也。或作忮。晉綠珠有懊儂歌。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
13. 畷 ào – Level land in a mountain (Kleemann & Yu, 2010); often used in placenames (X. Li, 2022). Rare enough in classical literature to lack a dictionary quote, not even in *Kangxi Dictionary*!
14. 凹 āo – A pit or depression in an area; a concave (Kroll et al., 2017)  
《集韻》於交切，音沃。窠也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)

## Case Study B: /ou/

## 「歐偶鷗」

鷗	漚	漚	歐	歐
毆	藕	藕	齧	偶
歐	區	鋤	鷗	鷗
嘔	煇	甌	樞	謳
。	！	。	。	。

<p>简体译文</p>	<p>《欧偶鸥》 欧和一只海鸥结伴一起讴歌。  欧生着齧齿，海鸥叼着榆枝。  欧沤了两鋤藕，盛在了甌里。  他又沤了四区藕，结果火太煇，烧起来了！  海鸥气得毆打阿欧，欧被打得呕吐。</p>
<p>English Translation</p>	<p>“The Quarrel of Ou and the Seagull” Ou pairs with the Seagull, together they sing.  Ou, with crooked teeth, and the Seagull, with elm (in beak).  Ou boils two <i>dou</i> of lotus root and puts it in a bowl.  Ou boils four <i>dou</i> of lotus root. Oh, too much heat - fire!  Seagull retches at the debacle and beats Ou with a stick.</p>

Out of the 16 unique characters, 12 share the same component, 75%, and out of the 24 total characters, 15 share the same component, 62.5%. However, it does not share the same audiovisual utility of the /ao/ poem where each character carries a simple meaning with a mnemonic sound; I therefore believe it is not as useful as a pedagogical tool.

This poem is a Punch & Judy-style sketch in four-character quatrain form. The meaning, though, hinges on 偶 being interpreted as 合 “pairing with,” which is asserted in the Erya, then cited by Kangxi Dictionary and echoed by Kroll (Kroll et al., 2017; J. Wang, 2021; Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015). This is not a *common* meaning for the word, but with it, the entire prose of this text becomes possible. I therefore encourage this be used in higher-level Mandarin classes centred around cultural awareness, where Literary Chinese is likely to be introduced.

The poem uses two obscure classifiers that are derived from *dàu* 斗, a dry grain measure equivalent to a litre (X. Li, 2022). *ōu* 區 is two *dàu* 斗, while *ōu* 區 is four *dàu* 斗. An observant educator will notice that *ōu* 區 is usually read as *qū* to mean “place” – that is right, and this is a convenient way to teach this rare reading in isolation. However, I use these classifiers in the Literary Chinese context of them being post-noun (Barnes et al., 2009; Pulleyblank, 2000), and therefore an educator of Mandarin Chinese ought to place them in the pre-noun position so to not confuse a student.

### Annotations

1. 偶 *ǒu* – In this case, to pair with. (Yi, 2019; Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015). Synonym: 合 (J. Wang, 2021, p. 25)  
《爾雅·釋詁》合也。《註》謂對合也。又胖合也。(J. Wang, 2021, p. 25; Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)  
Connotations change come 賈誼:  
《賈誼·五餌》言偶人無時。《韓詩》言不失時，以偶為胖合也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
2. 歐 *ōu* – Surname, usu. seen in the disyllabic family name 歐陽 *ōuyáng* seen in the *Hundred Family Surnames* (Cheng & Wang, 2023; Hu et al., 2017) but is valid on its own (X. Li, 2022).
3. 鷗 *ōu* – A type of bird in the *Laridae* genus (Kroll et al., 2017).  
《說文解字注》鳥侯切鷗水鷗也。[《山海經》注曰：鷗，水鷗也。按《列子》作漚。]从鳥。區聲。[鳥侯切。四部。](S. Xu, 2015)
4. 謳 *ōu* – To sing without musical accompaniment; can be used figuratively (Kroll et al., 2017).  
《說文解字注》鳥侯切謳齊歌也。(S. Xu, 2015)
5. 齟 *óu* – Crooked, uneven teeth; can be singular or in the bound form 齟齬 *zōu'óu*. (S. Xu, 2015)  
《說文解字注》五婁切齟齬也。从齒。禺聲。[五婁切。四部。按二字各本譌亂。今依《廣韻》正之。](S. Xu, 2015)
6. 樞 *ōu* – Thorn-elm; *Hemiptela davidii* (Kroll et al., 2017).  
《說文解字注》昌朱切樞戶樞也。[戶所以轉動開閉之樞機也。《釋宮》曰：樞謂之根。]從木。區聲。[昌朱切。四部。]
7. 漚 *ōu/òu* – In the first tone, bubbles on water. In the fourth tone, it implies letting something ferment, brew, or simmer until ready (Kroll et al., 2017). Here, we use it in the fourth tone.  
《說文解字注》鳥侯切久漬也。[言久漬者，略別於漬也。上統言。此析言。互相足也。《陳風》。可以漚麻。傳曰：漚，柔也。《考工記》。漚其絲。注曰：楚人曰漚。齊人曰淩。或假渥字為之。如《左傳》鄆人漚菅者，《周禮》注引作繪人渥菅是也。]从水。區聲。[鳥侯切。四部。](S. Xu, 2015)
8. 區 *ōu* – Traditional measurement equivalent to 2 斗 *dàu* (Kroll et al., 2017), thereby equal to 20 litres (X. Li, 2022), though it fluctuated over the years.

- 《集韻》門鋪謂之鑷銬。《博雅》鑷鍛謂之鑷銬。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
9. 區 *ōu* – Traditional measurement equivalent to 4 斗 *dòu* or 2 鑷 *ōu* (Kroll et al., 2017), equal overall to 40 litres (X. Li, 2022). More well-known for its *qū* reading, meaning “area” (Kroll et al., 2017; X. Li, 2022; Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015).  
 《爾雅 釋器》玉十謂之區。(J. Wang, 2021, p. 278)  
 《集韻》《韻會》《正韻》炆烏侯切，音甌。量名。四豆爲區。《左傳 昭三年》豆區釜鍾。又匿也。《左傳 昭七年》楚文王作僕區之法。《註》僕，隱也。區，匿也。又姓。《韻會》古歐冶子之後。《王莽傳》中郎區博。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
10. 甌 *ōu* – A shallow, wide bowl (Kroll et al., 2017).  
 《說文解字注》烏侯切甌小盆也。(S. Xu, 2015)  
 《爾雅 釋器》甌甌謂之甌。(J. Wang, 2021, p. 261; Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
11. 嘔 *ōu/ǒu* – In the first tone, it describes a drought; in the third tone, it describes “giving off a lot of smoke as a result of poor burning” (Kroll et al., 2017).  
 《玉篇》炮嘔也。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)  
 《集韻》於侯切，漚去聲。煖也。或作嘔藎。(Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
12. 毆 *ōu* – To beat someone with a stick (Kroll et al., 2017)  
 《說文解字注》烏后切毆捶毆物也。(S. Xu, 2015; Y. Zhang & Chen, 2015)
13. 謳 *ōu/ōu/òu* – In the third tone, it describes gagging or retching as a synonym of 歐 (Kroll et al., 2017); in the first tone, it describes singing as a synonym of 謳 (Kroll et al., 2017); in the fourth tone, it describes being irritated or annoyed, usually seen in 嘔氣 *òu qì* and 嘔人 *òu rén* (X. Li, 2022).  
 《說文解字注》烏后切嘔吐也。(S. Xu, 2015)

### Discussion & Implications

By systematically designing One-Syllable Articles with a high density of shared phonetic components, a unique pedagogical tool arises that forces CSL learners to rely on their radical sensitivity to derive meaning. We therefore change what began as a linguistic curio into a targeted literacy exercise. These two poems demonstrate a replicable classroom technique that presents strong potential for further development. These are, to my knowledge, the first One-Syllable Articles written using the /ao/ and /ou/ syllables, thereby proving that these are syllables that can create meaning on their own; it is not impossible for a student to find other possible syllables should they study the liturgical language.

One superficial criticism of these poems is the density in rare characters. However, this is precisely what makes them potent: *Lion-Eating Poet in the Stone Den* uses common characters that be recognised by just about anyone. These poems are, by comparison, opaque, forcing the reader to decode them. As with Zhang *et al.*'s (2016) use of “pseudocharacters,” the poems provided act as an environment of inductive, procedural discovery for the learner, something demonstrated by Ibn Tufayl (2003). This directly combats the reader's tendency to read the phonetic components first, as Wood *et al.* (2025) have shown, turning that strategy against them. This then operationalises Katz and Frost's (1992) orthographic depth hypothesis by isolating the semantic and phonetic constituents of every Chinese character, as Perfetti *et al.* (2005) succinctly describe. They must negotiate meaning to succeed, which fits Schmidt's (1990; Ünlü, 2015) noticing hypothesis in that language acquisition requires a level of awareness.

Such an opaque text will naturally bring forth the potential for one's affective filter to raise (Du, 2009; Krashen & Terrell, 1983). However, this technique is designed to quickly turn into engagement and enjoyment. One should not dismiss the power of enjoyment in a language classroom (Dewaele & Alfawzan, 2018). An educator ought to quicken the "Is this a joke" into "Oh, this is actually really interesting" process for a lesson to succeed. We must reduce the student's anxiety from being overwhelmed (Fraschini & Tao, 2023) and turn that into a learning opportunity with hope (Zong, 2021; Zong & Forbes, 2022). It is funny, but it is also meaningful. Naming the author and genre is a valid strategy for reducing anxiety by humanising the text. This is something Egan (1997) extensively discusses as a way to expand the learning environment beyond the classroom and show an ongoing culture or tradition. By naming the genre, an individual who enjoys the work can find more of it, giving them an "in" into the culture of the language they are learning. Linking this with Chinese education specifically, we could invoke the 正名 *Zhengming* doctrine promoted by Confucius (Kong, 2000) and Gongsun Long (Gongsun, 2014): Without naming things, students cannot find things. I find this no more essential than with these poems.

In a Mandarin Chinese classroom, the primary value of these poems is in their promotion of metalinguistic awareness and decoding skill. They are an environment in which the reader can discover the nature of phono-semantic compounds for themselves. As suggested with the /ao/ poem, Literary Chinese complexities can be dropped in favour of a focus on character structure, something often done with *Lion-Eating Poem in the Stone Den*, given its use of the classical meaning of *shì* 是 "this" rather than a copula. Therefore, the advanced knowledge of Literary Chinese is not necessary for the poem to be effective; its use of Mandarin phonology is what matters here.

In a Literary Chinese classroom, One-Syllable Articles could be seen as a crash course in the vocabulary within a single syllable and show the flexibility of its grammar. One poem will likely teach a student many, many rare characters, all within context; given the rarity of them, this makes them suitable for advanced learners with a strong foundation. Some grammatical particles, such as *yī* 繫, *wá* 唯, or *yù* 聿, which all act as introductory copula meaning "Let it be the case that X is Y" in ancient texts (Kroll et al., 2017), are very likely to be used when writing those syllables. Another benefit to the teaching of Literary Chinese using this medium is the often-simplified grammar of these poems. Without the Swiss Army knife of 之 or the variable 亦 at play, one can present simplified, isolated grammar points of the language without mining for out-of-context quotes within the classical canon. This does, however, have a crucial counterpoint: As mentioned before, many characters this would teach would be quite rare, and therefore not be useful. Regardless, the potential for these poems to be used as a less intimidating entry point into dense classical prose is strong.

### Limitations

As these poems are written in Literary Chinese, much like Yuen Ren Chao's famous work, a learner would need some awareness of parataxis and *hu óyòng* to fully decode their meaning. Additionally, they will demand some initial radical knowledge from a learner should they be used in active decoding activities. These limitations can be solved through further exploration of the One-Syllable Article genre, particularly regarding character transparency and use of the learner's potential vocabulary inventory. Furthermore, further study on the decoding speed of characters based on radical knowledge and longitudinal study on reading fluency could further illuminate the impact of these activities. These poems are unlikely to work in other Chinese

topolects, being specifically designed for Mandarin Chinese phonology, and therefore teachers of Cantonese, Hokkien, Shanghainese, and others would not find much use here.

### Conclusion

These poems demonstrate that One-Syllable Articles can be systematically designed for radical-rich character instruction, giving learners an environment to freely discover the phonosemantic elements of characters for themselves. By employing this contextual approach, CSL learners can develop awareness of this feature of the Han script and increase their reading fluency. This paper has expanded pedagogical materials for Mandarin and Literary Chinese by providing these decodable texts with controlled grammar and script constraints.

This paper opens several avenues for research. More common Mandarin syllables, including Chao's /shi/, /ji/, and /xi/, could absolutely be replicated with more targeted vocabulary; this could include using the HSK as a further constraint. Texts within Mandarin grammar could also be explored, which would be more directly applicable to a Mandarin-medium classroom; the poems I wrote use Literary Chinese grammar to its fullest. Further exploration within other Chinese topolects, particularly Cantonese, Shanghainese, and Hokkien, could absolutely lead to desirable results.

### References

- Ambridge, B., Pine, J. M., Rowland, C. F., Chang, F., & Bidgood, A. (2013). The retreat from overgeneralization in child language acquisition: Word learning, morphology, and verb argument structure. *WIREs Cognitive Science*, 4(1), 47–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.1207>
- Barnes, A. C., Starr, D., & Ormerod, G. (2009). *Du's handbook of classical Chinese grammar: An introduction to classical Chinese grammar*. Alcuin Academics.
- Brumfit, C., & Carter, R. (Eds). (2000). *Literature and language teaching* (1. publ., 7. impr). Oxford University Press.
- Cai, Z. (2007). *How to read Chinese poetry: Guided anthology*. Columbia University press.
- Chan, J., Woore, R., Molway, L., & Mutton, T. (2022). Learning and teaching Chinese as a foreign language: A scoping review. *Review of Education*, 10(3), e3370. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3370>
- Chao, Y. R. (1980). *语言问题 [Language Problems]* (1st ed). 商务印书馆 [Commercial Press].
- Chen, H.-C., Hsu, C.-C., Chang, L.-Y., Lin, Y.-C., Chang, K.-E., & Sung, Y.-T. (2013). USING A RADICAL-DERIVED CHARACTER E-LEARNING PLATFORM TO INCREASE LEARNER KNOWLEDGE OF CHINESE CHARACTERS. *Language Learning & Technology*, 17(1), 89–106.
- Chen, T., & Feng, Y. (2020). Nontransparent Compound Character Learning in L2 Chinese: Does Radical Awareness Always Work? *Sage Open*, 10(4), 2158244020969669. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020969669>
- Cheng, D., & Wang, Y. (with Xia, H.). (2023). *幼学琼林·三百千 [Collection of Elementary Education Classics & SanBaiQian]* (Z. Du, Ed.; Revised ed.). 万卷出版有限责任公司 [Wanjuan Publishing Co., Ltd.].
- Dewaele, J.-M., & Alfawzan, M. (2018). Does the effect of enjoyment outweigh that of anxiety in foreign language performance? *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, VIII(1), 21–45.
- Du, X. (2009). The Affective Filter in Second Language Teaching. *Asian Social Science*, 5(8).
- Egan, K. (1997). *The educated mind: How cognitive tools shape our understanding*. University of Chicago Press.

- Fraschini, N., & Tao, Y. (2023). How epistemic anxiety and curiosity link perceived value and intended efforts in the language classroom. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 43, 23–40. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190523000041>
- Gongsun, L. (2014). 《公孙龙子》英译本 [*The English version of Gongsunlongzi*] (Q. Sun, Trans.; 1st ed). 浙江工商大学出版社 [Zhejiang Industry and Commerce University Publishing House].
- Harmer, J. (2013). *The practice of English language teaching: With DVD* (4. ed., 8. impression). Pearson Education.
- Hew-yan, Y. J. (2011). *Exploring the role of radicals in novel characters learning among* [Bachelor's dissertation]. University of Hong Kong.
- Ho, C. S.-H., Ng, T.-T., & Ng, W.-K. (2003). A “Radical” Approach to Reading Development in Chinese: The Role of Semantic Radicals and Phonetic Radicals. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 35(3), 849–878. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15548430jlr3503\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15548430jlr3503_3)
- Hong, J.-C., Wu, C.-L., Chen, H.-C., Chang, Y.-L., & Chang, K.-E. (2016). Effect of radical-position regularity for Chinese orthographic skills of Chinese-as-a-second-language learners. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 59, 402–410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.02.002>
- Hsiung, H.-Y., Chang, Y.-L., Chen, H.-C., & Sung, Y.-T. (2017). Effect of stroke-order learning and handwriting exercises on recognizing and writing Chinese characters by Chinese as a foreign language learners. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 74, 303–310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.04.022>
- Hu P., Zhao S., & Gao X. (with Lei A., Hong Z., & Liu C.). (2017). 百家姓大典 [*Great Dictionary of the Myriad Family Surnames*] (Li S. & Dong G., Eds; Ropebound ed.). 云南人民出版社 [Yunnan People's Publishing House].
- Jijia, Z., Yanhong, F., & Xinkui, C. (2006). The Role of Semantic Radicals of Chinese Characters in Grammatical Categorization of Chinese Visual Words. *Acta Psychologica Sinica*, 38(2), 159–169.
- Katz, L., & Frost, R. (1992). The Reading Process is Different for Different Orthographies: The Orthographic Depth Hypothesis. In *Orthography, Phonology, Morphology, and Meaning* (Vol. 94, pp. 67–84). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115\(08\)62789-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115(08)62789-2)
- Kleemann, J., & Yu, H. (Eds). (2010). *The Oxford Chinese dictionary: English-Chinese ; Chinese-English*. Oxford University Press & Foreign Language Teaching and Research Press.
- Kong, Q. (2000). *Confucius: The Analects (A Bilingual Edition)* 論語 (D. C. Lau, Trans.; 1st ed). The Chinese University of Hong Kong Press.
- Krashen, S. D., & Terrell, T. D. (1983). *The natural approach: Language acquisition in the classroom*. Alemany Press [u.a.]. <https://archive.org/details/naturalapproachl00kras>
- Kroll, P. W., Baxter, W. H., Boltz, W. G., Knechtges, D. R., Lien, Y. E., Richter, A., Richter, M. L., & Warner, D. X. (2017). *A student's dictionary of classical and medieval Chinese* (S. F. Teiser, M. Kern, & T. Brook, Eds; Revised edition). Brill.
- Lai, C., Qi, X., Lü, C., & Lyu, B. (2020). The effectiveness of guided inductive instruction and deductive instruction on semantic radical development in Chinese character processing. *Language Teaching Research*, 24(4), 496–518. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168818805265>
- Li, L., Wang, H.-C., Castles, A., Hsieh, M.-L., & Marinus, E. (2018). Phonetic radicals, not phonological coding systems, support orthographic learning via self-teaching in Chinese. *Cognition*, 176, 184–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2018.02.025>

- Li, X. (Ed.). (2022). *现代汉语规范词典 [Modern Standard Chinese Dictionary]* (4th ed.). 外语教学与研究出版社: 语文出版社 [Foreign Language Teaching and Research Press: Chinese Language Press].
- Li, Y., Li, H., & Wang, M. (2020). Orthographic learning via self-teaching in Chinese: The roles of phonological recoding, context, and phonetic and semantic radicals. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 199, 104913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2020.104913>
- Li, Y., Xiao, L., & Li, H. (2022). Self-teaching in Chinese: The roles of phonetic and semantic radicals in orthographic and vocabulary learning. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 45(1), 20–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9817.12379>
- Liu A. (Ed.). (2022). *淮南子 [Huainanzi]* (Hardcover ed., Vols 1–2). 中华书局 [Zhonghua Book Company].
- Long, M. (2000). A feeling for language: The multiple values of teaching literature. In C. Brumfit & R. Carter (Eds), *Literature and language teaching* (1. publ., 7. impr, pp. 42–59). Oxford University Press.
- Love, M. (2025). *Pleco Chinese Dictionary* (Version 3.2.98) [C; Android]. Pleco Software. <https://www.pleco.com/>
- Lü, C., Koda, K., Zhang, D., & Zhang, Y. (2015). Effects of semantic radical properties on character meaning extraction and inference among learners of Chinese as a foreign language. *Writing Systems Research*, 7(2), 169–185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17586801.2014.955076>
- Marjou, X. (2021). OTEANN: Estimating the Transparency of Orthographies with an Artificial Neural Network. *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Computational Typology and Multilingual NLP*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.sigtyp-1.1>
- Ministry of Education of the People’s Republic of China. (2021, July 1). *国际中文教育中文水平等级标准 [Chinese Proficiency Grading Standards for International Chinese Language Education]*. Ministry of Education of the People’s Republic of China. [http://www.moe.gov.cn/jyb\\_xwfb/gzdt\\_gzdt/s5987/202103/W020210329527301787356.pdf](http://www.moe.gov.cn/jyb_xwfb/gzdt_gzdt/s5987/202103/W020210329527301787356.pdf)
- Mitsigkas, N. (2016). *Using Novels in English Language Teaching in Cyprus* [PhD thesis, University of Essex]. <https://repository.essex.ac.uk/19316/1/PhD%20Final%20online%20submission-Neophytos%20Mitsigkas.pdf>
- Nguyen, T. P., Zhang, J., Li, H., Wu, X., & Cheng, Y. (2017). Teaching Semantic Radicals Facilitates Inferring New Character Meaning in Sentence Reading for Nonnative Chinese Speakers. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1846. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01846>
- Ortega, L. (2014). *Understanding Second Language Acquisition* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203777282>
- Orthographic learning at a glance: On the time course and developmental onset of self-teaching. (2004). *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 87(4), 267–298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2004.01.001>
- Perfetti, C. A., Liu, Y., & Tan, L. H. (2005). The Lexical Constituency Model: Some Implications of Research on Chinese for General Theories of Reading. *Psychological Review*, 112(1), 43–59. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.112.1.43>
- Pulleyblank, E. G. (2000). *Outline of classical Chinese grammar* (Repr). UBC Press.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching*. Cambridge University Press.

- Schmidt, R. W. (1990). The role of consciousness in second language learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 11(2), 129–158.
- Share, D. L. (1995). Phonological recoding and self-teaching: Sine qua non of reading acquisition. *Cognition*, 55(2), 151–218; discussion 219–226. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277\(94\)00645-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(94)00645-2)
- Share, D. L. (1999). Phonological Recoding and Orthographic Learning: A Direct Test of the Self-Teaching Hypothesis. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 72(2), 95–129. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jecp.1998.2481>
- Shei, C., McLellan Zikpi, M. E., & Chao, D. (Eds). (2020). *The Routledge handbook of Chinese language teaching*. Routledge.
- Starr, D., & Hu, Y. (2019). Literature in Chinese Language Teaching. In *The Routledge Handbook of Chinese Language Teaching*. Routledge.
- Sturgeon, D. (2020). Digitizing Premodern Text with the Chinese Text Project. *Journal of Chinese History*, 4(2), 486–498. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jch.2020.19>
- Sturgeon, D. (2021). Chinese Text Project: A dynamic digital library of premodern Chinese. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 36(Supplement\_1), i101–i112. <https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqz046>
- Tong, X., Tong, X., & McBride, C. (2017). Radical sensitivity is the key to understanding Chinese character acquisition in children. *Reading and Writing*, 30(6), 1251–1265. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-017-9722-8>
- Tong, X., & Yip, J. H. Y. (2015). Cracking the Chinese character: Radical sensitivity in learners of Chinese as a foreign language and its relationship to Chinese word reading. *Reading and Writing*, 28(2), 159–181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-014-9519-y>
- Tufayl, I. (2003). *Ibn Tufayl's Hayy ibn Yazan: A Philosophical Tale* (L. E. Goodman, Ed.; Updated Ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Ünlü, A. (2015). How alert should I be to learn a language? The noticing hypothesis and its implications for language teaching. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 199, 261–267.
- Ur, P. (2012). *A course in English language teaching* (2nd ed). Cambridge University Press.
- Van Norden, B. W. (2019). *Classical Chinese for everyone: A guide for absolute beginners*. Hackett Publishing Company, Inc.
- Wan, X., Liu, H., & Lu, Z. (2019). *说文解字十二讲 [12 Lectures of Shuowen Jiezi]* (1st ed.). 中华书局 [Zhonghua Book Company].
- Wang, H., Sun, P., & Chan, D. K. (2025). App-assisted learning of Chinese characters: Matching parts and radicals in structural templates. *Journal of Chinese Writing Systems*, 9(1), 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/25138502241257955>
- Wang, J. (Ed.). (2021). *爾雅新注 [Ēryǎ Newly Annotated]* (1st hardcover ed.). 中华书局 [Zhonghua Book Company].
- Wang, L. (2009). *诗词格律 [Rules of Poetry]* (1st ed.). 中华书局 [Zhonghua Book Company].
- Wang, S. (2012). 成语佐证文言词语的活用 [Chéngyǔ zuǒzhèng wényán cíyǔ de huóyòng]. *语文教学之友 [Friends of Chinese Language Teaching]*, 12, 29–30.
- Wei, X., Gunter, T. C., Adamson, H., Schwendemann, M., Friederici, A. D., Goucha, T., & Anwander, A. (2024). White matter plasticity during second language learning within and across hemispheres. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(2), e2306286121. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2306286121>
- Wood, Y., Green, J. J., Knell, E., & Liu, Y. (2025). CFL learners' real-time processing of Chinese radicals: An eye-tracking study. *Language Awareness*, 34(2), 520–539. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2024.2447700>

- Xu, S. (2015). 說文解字注 [*Annotated Shuowen Jiezi*] (Y. Duan & W. Xu, Eds; 1st ed.). 鳳凰出版社 [Phoenix Media].
- Xu, X., & Padilla, A. M. (2013). Using Meaningful Interpretation and Chunking to Enhance Memory: The Case of Chinese Character Learning. *Foreign Language Annals*, 46(3), 402–422. <https://doi.org/10.1111/flan.12039>
- Yang, B. (2016). 文言语法 [*Literary Chinese Grammar*] (1st ed.). 中华书局 [Zhonghua Book Company].
- Yi, B. (2019). 字統网 [*zi.tools*] [Browser]. <https://zi.tools/>
- Zhang, C. (2011). 从《施氏食狮史》看汉字的特征 [Analysis on the Characteristics of Chinese Characters Based on the One-Syllable Article ‘shi shi shi shi shi’]. *湖北第二师范学院学报* [*Journal of Hubei University of Education*], 28(5), 24–26.
- Zhang, J., Li, H., Dong, Q., Xu, J., & Sholar, E. (2016). Implicit use of radicals in learning characters for nonnative learners of Chinese. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 37(3), 507–527. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716415000090>
- Zhang, Y., & Chen, T. (with Aisin-Gioro, X.). (2015). 康熙字典 [*Kangxi Dictionary*] (H. Wang, Ed.; Revised Ed., Vols 1–2). 社会科学文献出版社 [Social Sciences Academic Press].
- Zhao, Z. (2024). 赵元任之同音字文章与汉字拼音化——以《施氏食狮史》为中心 [Zhàoyuánrèn zhī tóngyīn zì wénzhāng yǔ hàn zì pīnyīn huà——Yǐ “shī shì shí shī shī” wéi zhōngxīn]. *实验语言学* [*Shíyàn yǔyán xué*], 13(3).
- Zhu, X. (2025). 禮七祭 [Chapter 7: On Propriety]. In D. Sturgeon (Ed.), 朱子語類 [*Classified Conversations of Zhuzi*] (17th cen. digitized ed., Vol. 90). Chinese Text Project. <https://ctext.org/text.pl?node=597310&if=en>
- Zong, Y. (2021). *Infusing hope in L2 writing strategy instruction research*. <https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.76204>
- Zong, Y., & Forbes, K. (2022, November 14). *Hope-infused writing strategy instruction: Moving towards EFL writers with ‘skill and will’*. <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2022-qwzt6>

**Llinos Evans** is a student of Teaching English as a Foreign Language at the University of Essex. She has an interest in Literary Chinese philology and contemporary usage.